RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

MAY 2 3 2007

KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP

315 S. Beverly Drive, Suite 210 Beverly Hills, California 90212

FAX COVER SHEET

PLEASE DELIVER THIS FACSIMILE TO EXAMINER CHUCK O. KENDALL

TO: Commissioner for Patents

Attn: Examiner Chuck O. Kendall

Group Art Unit: 2192

FROM:

William K. Konrad

Telephone: (310) 556-7983

Facsimile: (310) 556-7984

OUR REF:

0054.0033

TELEPHONE: 310-556-7983

Total pages, including cover letter: 3

PTO FAX NUMBER: <u>1-571-273-8300</u>

If you do NOT receive all of the pages, please telephone us at 310-556-7983, or fax us at 310-556-7984.

Description of Documents Transmitted:

<u>APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW</u>

REQUEST FORM

Applicant:

H.J. GLASER et al.

Scrial No.:

09/687,033

Filed:

October 12, 2000

Group Art Unit:

2192

Docket No.:

STL920000062US1

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:

I hereby certify that this comespondence is being transmitted by facsimile to Examiner Chuck

Kendall of the U.S. Palent and Trademark Office on May 23, 2007.

William K. Koylrad

05/23/2007 15:52

3105567984

KONRAD RAYNES VICTOR

PAGE 02/03

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 2 3 2007

PTOL-413A 0054.0033

		·							
Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form									
Application No.: 09/687,033 First Named Application: H.J. GLASER et al. Examiner: Chuck O. Kendall Art Unit: 2192 Status of Application: Nonfinal rejection									
Tentative Participants: (1) William K. Konrad (2) Chuck O. Kendall (3) (4)									
Proposed Date of Interview: May 29, 2007 Proposed Time: 02:00 PM (Eastern)									
Type of Interview Requested: (1) ☑ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference									
Exhibit to be shown or demonstrated: Yes No If yes, provide a brief description:									
Issues to be Discussed									
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed				
(1) <u>Rej.</u>	Claims 8 and 14	Downs and Kenner							
(2)									
(3)									
Continuation Sheet Attached Brief Description of Argument to be Presented: Proposed amendment to claim 8 is attached. Downs and Kenner do not make obvious operation of "building the application program in a configuration customized to conform to the user application program installation configuration decrypted from the manifest file" as required by claim 8 amended as proposed.									
An Interview was conducted on the above-identified application on									
NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP \$ 713.01).									
This Application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR									
1.133(b)) as soofi	as possible	7 _							
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature) (Examiner/SPE Signature)									

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 2 3 2007

PTOL-413A 0054.0033

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form									
Application No.: 09/687,033 First Named Application: H.J. GLASER et al. Examiner: Chuck O. Kendall Art Unit: 2192 Status of Application: Nonfinal rejection									
Tentative Participants: (1) William K. Konrad (2) Chuck O. Kendall (3) Proposed Date of Interview: May 29, 2007 Proposed Time: 02:00 PM (Eastern)									
Type of Interview Requested: (1) ☑ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference									
Exhibit to be shown or demonstrated: Yes No If yes, provide a brief description:									
Issues to be Discussed									
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed				
(1) <u>Rej.</u>	Claims 8 and 14	Downs and Kenner							
(2)									
(3)									
□ Continuation Sheet Attached □ Continuation of Argument to be Presented: □ Proposed amendment to claim 8 is attached. Downs and Kenner do not make obvious operation of "building the application program in a configuration customized to conform to the user application program installation configuration decrypted from the manifest file" as required by claim 8 amended as proposed.									
An Interview was conducted on the above-identified application on									
NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).									
This Application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as spon as possible									
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature) (Examiner/SPE Signature)									